African Journal of Engineering and Environment Research Vol.7(2) 2025 pp 22- 44
©2025 CEFPACS Consulting Limited. www.cefpacsconsultingltd.org.ng
ISSN: 2714-2264

Osaki, L. J.

Synthesizing Geophysical Well Logging and Spatial Modelling for Reservoir Analysis Optimization: A Dynamic Approach.

Department of Physics and Geology,
Federal University Otuoke,
Bayelsa State, Nigeria.
Email: lawson-jackoo@fuotuoke.edu.ng

African Journal of Engineering and Environment Research Vol.7(2) 2025 pp 22- 44
©2025 CEFPACS Consulting Limited. www.cefpacsconsultingltd.org.ng
ISSN: 2714-2264

SYNTHESIZING GEOPHYSICAL WELL LOGGING AND SPATIAL MODELLING FOR RESERVOIR ANALYSIS OPTIMIZATION: A DYNAMIC APPROACH.

Osaki, L. J.[1]; Oghonyon, R.[2].
[1]Department of Physics and Geology, Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State, Nigeria
[2]Department of Geology, University of Port Harcourt Choba, Rivers State, Nigeria.
Email: lawson-jackoo@fuotuoke.edu.ng
[Date received: May 2025. Date accepted: June 2025]

ABSTRACT

Reservoir analysis is a vital component of hydrocarbon exploration and production, offering crucial insights into reservoir characteristics, fluid dynamics, and prospective production efficacy. This article provides an overview of the utilization of linked geophysical well logging and spatial modelling in improving reservoir analysis. The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modelling facilitates a thorough comprehension of reservoir characteristics and variabilities, resulting in enhanced reservoir models and optimized reservoir management approaches. Geophysical well logging entails the analysis of well log data, core measurements, and laboratory studies to quantify reservoir characteristics like porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, and lithology. Spatial modelling, encompassing variogram analysis, spatial interpolation, and stochastic simulation, is employed to represent reservoir features and uncertainties, integrating available data and incorporating spatial variability. The main advantages of combining geophysical well logging with spatial modelling include increased reservoir analysis, improved reservoir modelling accuracy, optimized well location and production plans, and decreased exploration and development risks. Case studies illustrate the use of integrated methodologies across diverse reservoir types, including as clastic, carbonate, and unconventional reservoirs, emphasizing the efficacy of these techniques in enhancing reservoir comprehension and performance forecasting. Challenges and limits related to combine geophysical well logging and spatial modelling encompass data quality and availability, uncertainty quantification, computational complexity, and model validation. Confronting these difficulties necessitates a multidisciplinary strategy, entailing cooperation among geoscientists, reservoir engineers, reservoir geophysicists, and data scientists, with progress in data collecting, processing, and modelling methodologies. The combined application of geophysical well logging and spatial modelling has substantial prospects for advancing reservoir analysis and optimizing reservoir management techniques. By utilizing existing data and integrating interdisciplinary skills, operators may enhance their comprehension of reservoir behavior, refine production methods, and improve hydrocarbon recovery from underground reservoirs. Ongoing research and improvement in coupled Reservoir Analysis methodologies are crucial for tackling issues and realizing the whole potential of hydrocarbon resources sustainably and efficiently.

Keywords: Reservoir analysis; Geophysical well logging; Spatial Modelling; Characterization

1.0 INTRODUCTION

A fundamental aspect of petroleum discovery and generation is reservoir analysis, which provides important information on fluid behaviour, reservoir properties, and potential production efficiency**.** According to Ekemezie and Digitemie (2024), reservoir analysis is a fundamental procedure in the field of hydrocarbon exploration and production. It offers significant insights on the characteristics, behavior, and potential for hydrocarbon accumulation in subsurface reservoirs. This highlights the necessity of reservoir analysis and the value of integrating spatial modeling and geophysical well logging for improved reservoir management and understanding. Reservoir Analysis is essential in hydrocarbon exploration and production, providing vital information for decision-making throughout the reservoir's lifecycle (Simpa et al., 2024). Its primary significance lies in identifying and quantifying critical reservoir properties such as porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, lithology, and geomechanical characteristics. These parameters determine the reservoir's capacity to store and produce hydrocarbons, affecting well productivity, recovery performance, and economic feasibility (Malozyomov et al., 2023). Additionally, Reservoir Analysis allows reservoir engineers and geoscientists to evaluate reservoir heterogeneity, comprehend fluid flow dynamics, and anticipate reservoir performance across diverse production scenarios. Characterization enhances reservoir modelling, optimizes well placement, forecasts production, and informs reservoir management strategies by offering an in-depth comprehension of reservoir properties and behaviours (Digitemie and Ekemezie, 2024). According to Khalili and Ahmadi (2023), reservoir analysis is essentially the cornerstone of productive hydrocarbon exploration and production activities. In the end, it helps oil and gas projects be sustainable and profitable by directing decision-making procedures, minimizing exploration risks, and optimizing hydrocarbon recovery.

Integrated geophysical well logging and spatial modelling are two potent methodologies utilized in reservoir analysis, each providing distinct insights into subsurface reservoir characteristics and spatial heterogeneity (Fajana, 2023). Geophysical well logging entails the interpretation and analysis of diverse data derived from well logs, core measurements, and laboratory experiments (Ahmed and Farman, 2023). This process encompasses the quantification of reservoir characteristics such as porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, lithology, and rock mechanics parameters. Geophysical well logging delivers critical data on the rock and fluid characteristics of the reservoir, allowing reservoir engineers and geoscientists to comprehend reservoir dynamics and evaluate its potential for hydrocarbon accumulation.

Spatial modelling, conversely, emphasizes the spatial modelling and analysis of reservoir characteristics, encapsulating spatial variability and uncertainty unique to subsurface reservoirs (Liu et al., 2022). Geostatistical modeling use statistical methods to analyze and model spatial data, integrating accessible data points and their spatial correlations to produce reservoir models. This encompasses variogram analysis, spatial interpolation methods, and stochastic simulation approaches that facilitate the development of spatially coherent and realistic reservoir models.

The combined use of geophysical well logging and spatial modelling is crucial for advancing reservoir analysis and optimizing reservoir management methods (Ganguli and Dimri, 2024). By incorporating these two methodologies, reservoir engineers and geoscientists can utilize the advantages of each technique to attain a more thorough and precise comprehension of subsurface reservoirs. Geophysical well logging offers comprehensive insights into reservoir characteristics at specific well sites, documenting local variations and heterogeneities (de Jonge-Anderson et al., 2022). This technique lacks spatial continuity and neglect spatial diversity between wells. Spatial modelling, conversely, provides a systematic framework for representing spatial variability and uncertainty, facilitating the extrapolation of petrophysical parameters across wells and throughout the reservoir. By integrating geophysical well logging with spatial modelling, professionals may create comprehensive reservoir models that respect existing data, account for spatial heterogeneity, and provide accurate representations of subsurface reservoirs. These combined models enable more precise reservoir analysis, optimize reservoir management choices, and augment the efficiency and efficacy of hydrocarbon exploration and production activities (Sun et al., 2021; Ekemezie and Digitemie, 2024). The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modelling constitutes a robust methodology for augmenting reservoir analysis and enhancing management practices in hydrocarbon exploration and production (Falade et al., 2022). This combined approach enables practitioners to attain a more thorough comprehension of subsurface reservoirs, resulting in optimized production strategies, heightened hydrocarbon recovery, and improved economic performance.

2.0 GEOPHYSICAL WELL LOGGING

Geophysical well logging is an important aspect of Reservoir Analysis in the oil and gas sector (Osaki et.al. 2021, Muther et al., 2022). This covers the definition, objectives, categories of data, measurement of reservoir properties, and methodologies and instruments employed in Geophysical Well Logging. Geophysical well logging entails the interpretation and analysis of several data sources to delineate the characteristics of subsurface reservoirs. The main aims of geophysical well logging are to measure essential reservoir characteristics, comprehend reservoir dynamics, and evaluate the potential for hydrocarbon accumulation. Geophysical well logging integrates data from well logs, core measurements, and laboratory experiments to provide vital insights into reservoir porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, lithology, and other characteristics critical for reservoir assessment and management. Well logs are measurements obtained during the drilling of wells, providing ongoing recordings of diverse characteristics of beneath the surface rocks (Osaki et. al., 2021 Ghosh, 2022). Common well logs include gamma-ray logs, neutron logs, density logs, resistivity logs, and sonic logs. These logs provide essential data regarding lithology, porosity, fluid saturations, and rock mechanical properties. Cores are elongated rock samples extracted from the subsurface during drilling operations. Core measurements entail laboratory analyses of these samples to ascertain properties such as porosity, permeability, grain size, mineralogy, and mechanical characteristics of the rock. Core data yield direct measurements of reservoir properties and act as a benchmark for calibrating and validating petrophysical models derived from well logs. Laboratory experiments encompass various tests performed on core samples to evaluate properties including porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, capillary pressure, and wettability (Gao et al., 2020). These experiments offer comprehensive insights into rock-fluid interactions and facilitate the quantification of reservoir properties under controlled conditions.

Porosity denotes the volume proportion of empty spaces (pores) within a rock sample and is an essential indicator for evaluating the storage capacity of reservoirs (Khassanov and Lonshakov, 2020). Porosity can be assessed using well logs (e.g., density or neutron porosity logs), core analyses (e.g., helium porosity measurements), and laboratory studies (e.g., mercury injection capillary pressure tests). Permeability denotes a rock's capacity to convey fluids and is crucial for evaluating reservoir production. Permeability may be indirectly determined from well logs (e.g., acoustic or picture logs), obtained from core measurements (e.g., permeameter tests), or inferred from laboratory studies (e.g., core flooding tests). Fluid saturations denote the ratio of pore space filled by fluids (e.g., oil, water, gas) within a reservoir rock. Well logs, including neutron and resistivity logs, are frequently employed to assess fluid saturations by analysing their reactions to various fluids. Lithology pertains to the mineral content, texture, and grain size of a rock, affecting its mechanical and petrophysical characteristics. Lithology can be deduced from well logs (such as gamma-ray or sonic logs), core descriptions, and laboratory investigations (including thin-section petrography).

According to Zughar et al. (2020), log analysis is the process of interpreting and analyzing well logs in order to determine petrophysical characteristics such fluid saturations, permeability, and porosity. Petrophysical models, log correlations, and empirical equations are some of the methods. The process of measuring and characterizing core samples in a lab to ascertain their petrophysical characteristics is known as core analysis. X-ray diffraction (XRD) examination, thin-section petrography, permeameter testing, and core porosity measurements are among the methods. Research petrophysics entails doing laboratory experiments to quantify petrophysical parameters under regulated settings. Methods encompass mercury injection capillary pressure assessments, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and core flooding investigations. Diverse software tools and packages are employed for data processing, visualization, and modelling in Geophysical Well Logging. Examples comprise Schlumberger's Petrel, Halliburton's Geolog, and Weatherford's Avocet. Geophysical well logging is vital for reservoir study, offering critical insights into reservoir characteristics and behaviour. Geophysical well logging integrates data from well logs, core measurements, and laboratory tests to quantify essential reservoir parameters, including porosity, permeability, fluid saturations, and lithology (Shehata et al., 2021; Joel). The techniques and tools employed in geophysical well logging are crucial for evaluating reservoir potential, refining production plans, and enhancing hydrocarbon recovery in oil and gas fields.

3.0 SPATIAL MODELLING

Spatial modelling is an essential tool in reservoir research, providing robust approaches for analyzing spatial data, assessing uncertainties, and producing realistic reservoir models (Grana et al., 2022). This examines the utilization of spatial modelling in reservoir research, encompassing variogram analysis, spatial interpolation techniques, and stochastic simulation.

Geostatistical modelling is a subset of statistics that concentrates on the analysis and modelling of spatially dispersed data. It offers a paradigm for comprehending geographic variability, assessing uncertainty, and forecasting in diverse contexts. geographic modelling in reservoir analysis is employed to represent the spatial distribution of reservoir characteristics, including porosity, permeability, and fluid saturations, derived from sparse and unevenly distributed data points (Mullins et al., 2021). The implementation of geostatistical modeling in reservoir analysis encompasses several essential stages. Collecting data from well logs, core measurements, seismic surveys, and more sources. Examining the geographical distribution and variability of reservoir characteristics with geostatistical methods. Developing spatial models of reservoir characteristics using geostatistical analysis. Evaluating uncertainty related to spatial models and forecasts. Employing geostatistical models and forecasts to inform reservoir management choices, including well location, reservoir modeling, and production optimization. Geostatistical modeling offers a structured approach for integrating existing data, capturing spatial variability, and facilitating informed decision-making in reservoir analysis and management (Rose et al., 2020).

Variogram analysis is a crucial geostatistical method employed to measure the spatial continuity and variability of reservoir characteristics (Abdullatif et al., 2022). The variogram quantifies spatial autocorrelation, illustrating the variation in similarity between data points as a function of distance and direction. Through the analysis of the variogram, geoscientists may discern the spatial structure of reservoir attributes and determine suitable interpolation techniques. Calculating the variogram involves determining the variance of differences between data points at various lag lengths and orientations. Applying a mathematical model to the experimental variogram to delineate the spatial continuity and range of effect of reservoir characteristics. Analyzing the variogram characteristics, including the nugget effect, sill, and range, to comprehend the extent of geographical variability and continuity. Variogram analysis offers essential insights for spatial interpolation and stochastic simulation, aiding in the selection of suitable interpolation techniques and facilitating the development of accurate reservoir models (Simpa et al., 2024).

Spatial interpolation techniques are employed to predict reservoir characteristics in unmeasured areas with existing data points. Numerous prevalent spatial interpolation techniques employed in Reservoir Analysis comprise. Kriging is a geostatistical interpolation technique that yields optimum linear unbiased estimations of reservoir characteristics using spatial correlation and variogram analysis (Kumar et al., 2023). It considers both the spatial configuration and uncertainty of data, rendering it extensively utilized in reservoir modeling and simulation. IDW is a deterministic interpolation technique that approximates values at unsampled places by utilizing the inverse of the distance to nearby data points. It proposes that proximate points exert a stronger effect on the estimated value than remote locations and is appropriate for interpolating smoothly variable attributes. Radial Basis Function interpolation techniques employ mathematical functions to represent the geographic variability of reservoir characteristics. They interpolate values according to the distances between data points and the centers of impact established by the radial basis functions. Radial Basis Function approaches may effectively capture intricate spatial patterns and are advantageous for interpolating characteristics that fluctuate non-linearly (Meng et al., 2024). Every interpolation technique possesses distinct advantages and drawbacks, with the selection of a method contingent upon variables such as data distribution, geographic variability, and modeling goals. Geoscientists frequently employ a variety of interpolation techniques to provide reliable estimates of reservoir characteristics and assess uncertainty.

Stochastic simulation is a probabilistic method employed to produce several realizations of reservoir characteristics that respect data distributions and spatial correlations. In contrast to deterministic interpolation approaches, stochastic simulation incorporates uncertainties in data and reflects the spatial variability of reservoir characteristics (Igbinenikaro et al., 2024). Producing spatially correlated random fields that replicate the variability and continuity of reservoir characteristics. Conditioning the simulation process on existing data points to verify that simulated outcomes conform to observable data values. Producing several realizations of reservoir characteristics to address uncertainties and evaluate an array of potential outcomes (Esho et al., 2024). Stochastic simulation offers critical insights into reservoir uncertainty and variability, enabling geoscientists to evaluate the dependability of reservoir models and make educated judgments amidst uncertainty. It is extensively utilized in reservoir analysis, reservoir modeling, uncertainty quantification, and risk assessment. Spatial modeling is essential in reservoir research, offering significant capabilities for examining Spatial data, assessing uncertainties, and producing realistic reservoir models. Variogram analysis, spatial interpolation techniques, and stochastic simulation provide robust methodologies for comprehending Spatial variability, facilitating forecasts, and aiding decision-making in hydrocarbon exploration and production (Sadeghi and Cohen, 2023). Integrating spatial modeling into reservoir analysis processes enables geoscientists to enhance reservoir comprehension, refine management techniques, and optimize hydrocarbon extraction from subsurface reservoirs.

4.0 COMBINATION OF GEOPHYSICAL WELL LOGGING AND SPATIAL MODELLING

The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modeling constitutes a robust methodology in reservoir study, providing synergistic advantages that increase reservoir comprehension and optimize production plans. This examines the justification for integrating these methods, delineates the process for their combination, analyzes the advantages of such integration, and showcases case examples illustrating the implementation of coupled methodologies in several reservoir contexts.

The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modeling is motivated by the synergistic characteristics of both methodologies and their capacity to tackle many facets of Reservoir Analysis (Osaki et al., 2016, Akinsanya et al., 2024). Geophysical well logging offers comprehensive insights into reservoir characteristics at specific well sites, documenting local variances and heterogeneities. Nevertheless, it may exhibit insufficient spatial continuity and neglect spatial diversity among wells. Conversely, spatial modelling provides a systematic framework for representing spatial variability and uncertainty, facilitating the extrapolation of petrophysical parameters between wells and throughout the reservoir (Popoola et al., 2024). Geophysical well logging yields definitive estimates of reservoir characteristics at particular sites; nevertheless, these estimates are influenced by uncertainties related to data quality and interpretation. Spatial modeling facilitates the measurement of uncertainty by integrating Spatial variability and modeling uncertainties in reservoir characteristics. This facilitates a more comprehensive evaluation of reservoir uncertainty and risk. By integrating geophysical well logging with spatial modeling, professionals may create comprehensive reservoir models that respect existing data, account for spatial heterogeneity, and deliver accurate representations of subsurface reservoirs. These integrated models enable more precise reservoir analysis, optimize reservoir management choices, and augment the efficiency and efficacy of hydrocarbon exploration and production activities (Liang et al., 2023; Onwuka and Adu, 2024).

The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modeling adheres to a systematic workflow consisting of many essential processes (Wagner and Uhlemann, 2021). Collecting and verifying data from well logs, core measurements, seismic surveys, and more sources. Data preparation processes include the cleaning, filtering, and transformation of raw data to guarantee consistency and compatibility. Examining the spatial distribution and variability of reservoir characteristics using geophysical well logging methods and spatial modeling. This entails executing geophysical well logging to measure reservoir characteristics at specific well sites and carrying out variogram analysis to evaluate spatial continuity and variability. Developing spatial models of reservoir characteristics derived from data analysis outcomes. This entails interpolating petrophysical parameters across well sites via geostatistical interpolation techniques such as kriging, inverse distance weighting, or radial basis functions. Stochastic simulation methods may be employed to produce several realizations of reservoir characteristics that conform to data distributions and spatial correlations (Onwuka et al., 2023). Verifying the coupled reservoir models against independent data sources or contrasting them with empirical data values. Model validation guarantees that the integrated models precisely depict the subsurface reservoir and yield dependable forecasts for reservoir management choices.

The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modeling provides several advantages for reservoir analysis and management (Jambol et al., 2024). The combination offers a more thorough comprehension of reservoir characteristics, encompassing both localized changes and regional patterns. This results in more precise reservoir models that more effectively depict underlying heterogeneity and complexity. Integrating deterministic geophysical well logging with stochastic spatial modeling, coupled models address uncertainty and variability in reservoir characteristics. This yields more dependable predictions and enhanced decision-making. Coupled reservoir models facilitate improved production strategies by pinpointing advantageous drilling sites, forecasting reservoir performance across various operational scenarios, and evaluating the influence of uncertainty on production predictions. This allows operators to optimize extraction of hydrocarbons and mitigate production hazards (Ukato et al., 2024).

In clastic reservoirs, the integration of geophysical well logging with spatial modeling has been employed to characterize reservoir heterogeneity, identify preferential flow paths, and optimize hydraulic fracturing designs for enhanced oil recovery (Faskhoodi et al., 2020). In carbonate reservoirs, this integration has facilitated the delineation of reservoir facies, modeling of fracture networks, and prediction of reservoir connectivity, resulting in improved reservoir management strategies and increased hydrocarbon production. In unconventional reservoirs, such as shale gas and tight oil formations, this integration has proven essential in characterizing complex lithologies, quantifying natural fractures, and optimizing well placement and completion designs for efficient hydrocarbon extraction. These case studies illustrate the adaptability and efficacy of integrated methods in tackling various reservoir issues and enhancing production performance across distinct geological environments. The integration of geophysical well logging and spatial modeling provides substantial benefits for reservoir analysis and management in the oil and gas industries. By integrating these methodologies, practitioners can attain a more thorough comprehension of reservoir characteristics, enhance modeling precision, and refine production strategies to optimize hydrocarbon extraction and economic viability. The combination signifies a comprehensive methodology for reservoir study, utilizing the advantages of both geophysical well logging and spatial modeling to fully exploit subsurface resources (Igbinenikaro et al., 2024).

5.0 COMPLEXITIES AND UNCERTAINTIES IN RESERVOIR MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

Reservoir analysis, although crucial in the oil and gas sector, is frequently beset by complexity and uncertainties that might impede the accuracy and dependability of the conclusions achieved. This will explore the principal challenges and constraints faced in reservoir analysis, encompassing data quality and availability concerns, uncertainty quantification and model validation, computational complexity and resource demands, along with strategies for overcoming these challenges and alleviating limitations.

Reservoir Analysis depends on many data types, such as well logs, core measurements, seismic surveys, and production data. Nevertheless, these data sources frequently demonstrate variability in quality, resolution, and coverage, complicating the acquisition of a thorough comprehension of reservoir features (Esho et al., 2024). Data obtained from various sources may be prone to uncertainties arising from measurement mistakes, sample biases, and interpretative flaws. Data uncertainty can propagate across the Reservoir Analysis process, resulting in unreliable outcomes and erroneous forecasts. Reservoir data are often limited and irregularly dispersed, especially in offshore and distant regions. Insufficient data coverage can constrain the spatial resolution of reservoir models and induce biases in the characterization outcomes. Access to private data, including well logs and seismic surveys, presents obstacles for academics and operators conducting Reservoir Analysis studies (Simpa et al. 2024). Limitations on data sharing and collaboration may hinder advancements in comprehending reservoir characteristics and behavior.

Uncertainties in data gathering and interpretation disseminate across the Reservoir Analysis process, affecting the credibility of reservoir models and forecasts. Inadequate quantification and consideration of uncertainties may result in erroneous conclusions and suboptimal decision-making (Joel and Oguanobi, 2024). Verifying reservoir models with independent data sources or field observations is essential for evaluating their precision and dependability. Nonetheless, the accessibility of validation data may be constrained, especially in established fields where historical data may be insufficient or obsolete. Reservoir analysis models frequently depend on simplifying assumptions and conceptual frameworks to depict intricate subsurface conditions. These assumptions may not consistently be valid in practice, resulting in inconsistencies between model predictions and empirical findings. Variability in model parameters, including porosity, permeability, and fluid characteristics, can profoundly affect the accuracy of reservoir forecasts. Techniques for sensitivity analysis and uncertainty quantification are essential to evaluate the impact of parameter uncertainty on model results.

Reservoir analysis models could be resource-intensive, especially when integrating intricate geological characteristics, variabilities, and fluid dynamics (Saikia et al., 2020). High-resolution models with intricate grid resolutions necessitate considerable computational resources and may surpass existing computer capacities. The integration of data from many sources and scales, including well logs, seismic surveys, and reservoir models, increases the computational complexity of Reservoir Analysis processes. The amalgamation of data necessitates proficient algorithms and software solutions adept at managing extensive and varied information. Insufficient computational resources, including physical infrastructure and software licensing, may hinder the scalability and efficiency of Reservoir Analysis research. The substantial expenses related to data collecting, processing, and analysis may further restrict the accessibility of modern Reservoir Analysis methodologies. Project timelines and operating timetables may restrict the depth and breadth of Reservoir Analysis investigations. Swift decision-making may favor speed over comprehensiveness, resulting in possible oversights and mistakes in the characterisation process (Kim et al., 2024).

Establishing stringent quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) protocols to guarantee data reliability, consistency, and dependability. This includes the calibration of measuring devices, cross-validation of data sources, and validation against independent standards. Utilizing probabilistic approaches and uncertainty quantification tools to evaluate and measure uncertainties in Reservoir Analysis models (Oguanobi and Joel, 2024). Monte Carlo simulations, sensitivity analysis, and Bayesian inference can elucidate parameter uncertainty and evaluate their influence on model predictions. Executing comprehensive model validation and calibration procedures utilizing independent data sources and field observations. Sensitivity analyses, cross-validation, and history matching methodologies can enhance the precision and dependability of reservoir models (Jo et al., 2022). Facilitating collaboration and knowledge exchange across stakeholders, including as researchers, operators, and regulatory bodies, to consolidate resources, share data, and disseminate best practices. Collaborative activities can improve data accessibility, foster openness, and expedite advancements in reservoir analysis. Investing in sophisticated technology, computing infrastructure, and software tools to enhance Reservoir Analysis operations. This encompasses high-performance computing (HPC) clusters, cloud computing platforms, and specialist reservoir modeling software including extensive simulation capabilities. Reservoir analysis is crucial for comprehending underground reservoirs and enhancing hydrocarbon extraction; yet, it presents obstacles and constraints. Challenges in the characterisation process include data quality and availability concerns, uncertainty quantification, computational complexity, and resource limits (Arinze et al., 2024).

6.0 RESERVOIR MANAGEMENT IN THE 21ST CENTURY: A SYNERGISTIC MULTIDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVE.

Teamwork is fundamental to effective reservoir management, enabling the integration of varied knowledge and viewpoints to attain optimal results in hydrocarbon exploration and production (Cao et al., 2024). This examines the significance of cooperation among geoscientists, reservoir engineers, reservoir geophysicists, and data scientists, emphasizing the utilization of interdisciplinary skills for integrated reservoir analysis and the essential criteria for successful collaboration and information exchange.

Geoscientists, reservoir engineers, reservoir geophysicists, and data scientists provide distinct expertise and information, each fulfilling an essential function in reservoir management. Geoscientists examine geological data to comprehend subsurface structures and reservoir characteristics; reservoir engineers formulate production strategies and enhance well placement; reservoir geophysicists interpret well logs and core data to delineate reservoir properties; and data scientists utilize advanced analytics to derive insights from extensive datasets (Mahmud et al., 2020). Interdisciplinary collaboration facilitates a holistic comprehension of the reservoir by integrating geological, geophysical, petrophysical, and engineering data to construct precise reservoir models and inform decision-making. Multidisciplinary teams may leverage skills in geology, geophysics, engineering, and data analysis to find opportunities, manage risks, and optimize hydrocarbon recovery. Collaborative methodologies enhance creativity, innovation, and problem-solving, as team members with varied experiences and viewpoints contribute novel ideas and strategies. Interdisciplinary collaboration fosters innovative thinking and the exploration of unorthodox solutions to intricate reservoir issues. Collaborative workflows enhance the integration, analysis, and decision-making of data, minimizing silos and fostering synergy across many disciplines. Through the promotion of transparent communication and the exchange of information, collaborative teams can get swifter and more effective results in reservoir management initiatives.

Collaboration between different disciplines facilitates the integration and amalgamation of many datasets, encompassing geological, geophysical, petrophysical, and engineering data (Daramola et al., 2024). By integrating expertise in data acquisition, interpretation, and analysis, multidisciplinary teams can create comprehensive reservoir models that reflect the spatial and temporal variability of reservoir characteristics. Coupled Reservoir Analysis processes integrate many disciplines, amalgamating geological modeling, seismic interpretation, well log analysis, and reservoir simulation to construct complete reservoir models. By integrating geological, geophysical, and engineering data within a cohesive framework, multidisciplinary teams may provide more precise projections and enhance production plans. Data scientists provide proficiency in advanced analytics and machine learning methodologies for Reservoir Analysis projects, facilitating the extraction of insights from extensive and intricate datasets (Mishra et al., 2022). Data scientists utilize statistical models, pattern recognition algorithms, and predictive analytics to discern trends, patterns, and anomalies in reservoir data, so enabling informed decision-making. Reservoir engineers and reservoir geophysicists cooperate to create reservoir models and simulations that incorporate geological, geophysical, and petrophysical data. By integrating numerical reservoir simulation with geological modeling and geophysical well logging, interdisciplinary teams may simulate fluid dynamics, forecast reservoir performance, and enhance production methods.

African Journal of Engineering and Environment Research Vol.7(2) 2025 pp 22- 44
©2025 CEFPACS Consulting Limited. www.cefpacsconsultingltd.org.ng
ISSN: 2714-2264

Successful cooperation necessitates explicit communication channels and a mutual comprehension among team members (Piorkowski et al., 2021). Consistent meetings, project updates, and status reports facilitate alignment and transparency across several disciplines. Defining roles and duties within diverse teams mitigates redundancy and disputes. Well-defined procedures and decision-making protocols facilitate effective cooperation and responsibility. Establishing trust and cultivating a culture of mutual respect among team members is crucial for efficient cooperation. Recognizing and respecting the knowledge and contributions of each field encourages teamwork and cooperation. Promoting information exchange and ongoing education among team members fosters collaboration and the advancement of expertise. Training programs, workshops, and seminars offer avenues for multidisciplinary education and skill development. Flexibility and adaptability are essential characteristics of effective collaborative teams, enabling them to adjust to evolving project demands and objectives. receptiveness to input, openness to negotiate, and eagerness to adopt innovative concepts foster a dynamic and resilient collaborative atmosphere. Collaborative methodologies and interdisciplinary cooperation are vital for effective reservoir management, facilitating the integration of varied skills and viewpoints to get optimal results (Daus et al., 2021). By utilizing the expertise of geoscientists, reservoir engineers, reservoir geophysicists, and data scientists, interdisciplinary teams may develop precise reservoir models, enhance production techniques, and improve hydrocarbon recovery. Critical success criteria for effective cooperation encompass unambiguous communication, delineated roles and duties, reciprocal respect and trust, information dissemination and training, as well as flexibility and adaptation. Organizations may improve their reservoir management capacities and stimulate innovation in the oil and gas sector by cultivating a collaborative culture and adopting multidisciplinary cooperation (Shakya and Tripathi, 2024).

7.0 RECOMMENDATION AND REVOLUTIONARY IDEAS IN RESERVOIR ANALYSIS

Reservoir analysis, the examination of underground reservoirs' features and behaviors, is experiencing fast evolution due to technological and methodological breakthroughs. This examines prospective trajectories and innovations in reservoir analysis, emphasizing the integration of technologies and methodologies, enhancements in data acquisition, processing, and modeling techniques, avenues for further research and development in coupled approaches, and potential applications and advantages for the oil and gas sector.

The integration of seismic imaging technologies, including full-waveform inversion (FWI) and machine learning-based seismic interpretation, provides enhanced resolution and superior imaging of subsurface structures. FWI facilitates the reconstruction of intricate velocity models, whilst machine learning methods improve seismic interpretation through the automation of feature detection and categorization. Quantitative seismic analysis methods, such as seismic inversion and rock physics modeling, enable the direct estimate of reservoir parameters from seismic data. These approaches yield useful insights into reservoir lithology, porosity, and fluid saturation by integrating seismic features with well log data. Electromagnetic techniques, including controlled-source electromagnetics (CSEM) and magnetotellurics (MT), provide non-invasive means for delineating reservoir characteristics, especially in offshore locations. CSEM detects hydrocarbon accumulations by detecting differences in electrical conductivity, whereas MT offers insights into changes in subsurface resistivity. Progress in well logging technology, such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) logging and distributed acoustic sensing (DAS), allows comprehensive assessment of reservoir parameters within boreholes. NMR logging quantifies pore size distribution and fluid movement, whereas DAS delivers high-resolution acoustic data for fracture identification and reservoir surveillance. Future prospects in Reservoir Analysis entail the integration of multiscale data from many sources and disciplines, encompassing geological, geophysical, petrophysical, and engineering information. Integrated processes that incorporate data from seismic surveys, well logs, core measurements, and production data facilitate a comprehensive knowledge of reservoir characteristics and dynamics.

Developments in seismic acquisition and processing methods, including multicomponent seismic surveys and wide-azimuth acquisition, permit high-resolution imaging of subsurface structures and reservoirs. These approaches yield intricate representations of complicated geological formations and enhance the precision of reservoir models. The increasing volume of data in the oil and gas sector has heightened interest in big data analytics for reservoir evaluation. Machine learning techniques and data-driven methodologies facilitate the study of extensive information to derive important insights, discern trends, and forecast reservoir features and behaviours. Improvements in reservoir modeling and simulation approaches, such as numerical reservoir simulation and data assimilation methods, enhance the precision and dependability of reservoir models. Data assimilation approaches merge observational data with numerical models to refine reservoir parameters and enhance model predictions. Future advancements in Reservoir Analysis focus on improved quantification and management of uncertainties linked to data and models. Probabilistic approaches, including Monte Carlo simulation and Bayesian inference, facilitate the evaluation of uncertainty in reservoir parameters and predictions, offering decision-makers more robust and reliable insights. The integration of cloud computing and high-performance computing (HPC) technologies expedites data processing and analysis, resulting in quicker turnaround times for Reservoir Analysis projects. Cloud-based platforms deliver scalable and cost-efficient solutions for the storage, processing, and dissemination of extensive reservoir data.

The integration of several physics-based modeling tools, including seismic, electromagnetic, and geomechanical modeling, provides prospects for a more thorough knowledge of subsurface reservoirs. Multi-physics simulations may elucidate intricate relationships among several physical processes and enhance the precision of reservoir models. Advancing research and development in machine learning methodologies, including deep learning and reinforcement learning, can augment the efficacy of Reservoir Analysis models. Advanced machine learning algorithms can discern intricate patterns and relationships in reservoir data, facilitating enhanced predictions and improved decision-making. Creating real-time reservoir monitoring systems that incorporate sensor data, IoT devices, and machine learning algorithms facilitates the ongoing assessment of reservoir characteristics and performance. Real-time data analytics offer immediate insights into reservoir behavior, enabling operators to enhance production plans and reduce risks. Digital twin technology, which generates virtual reproductions of actual assets, presents prospects for virtual reservoir modelling and simulation. Digital twins allow operators to model various situations, evaluate the effects of operational modifications, and enhance reservoir management tactics within a virtual setting. Creating integrated workflows and collaborative platforms that enable the seamless integration, analysis, and decision-making of data across disciplines presents opportunities for enhanced efficiency and productivity in Reservoir Analysis projects. Collaborative platforms facilitate real-time cooperation among multidisciplinary teams, allowing for the exchange of insights and the formulation of informed decisions.

Future advancements in Reservoir Analysis provide better reservoir management tactics, including enhanced oil recovery (EOR) approaches, refined well location and completion designs, and optimized production methodologies. By utilizing sophisticated technology and procedures, operators may optimize hydrocarbon extraction and prolong the economic viability of reservoirs. Advanced Reservoir Analysis approaches decrease risks linked to exploration and production activities, including reservoir uncertainties, drilling hazards, and production uncertainty. By precisely delineating reservoir characteristics and behaviors, operators may enhance decision-making, mitigate drilling risks, and save operating expenditures. Future developments in Reservoir Analysis promote environmental sustainability by facilitating more efficient and ecologically appropriate hydrocarbon extraction methods. By enhancing reservoir management practices and mitigating environmental consequences, operators can facilitate the transition to a less destructive energy future.

African Journal of Engineering and Environment Research Vol.7(2) 2025 pp 22- 44

ISSN: 2714-2264

8.0 CONCLUSION

Coupled Reservoir Analysis is essential in the oil and gas sector, providing an in-depth comprehension of subsurface reservoirs and enhancing hydrocarbon extraction efficiency. In conclusion, it is clear that integrated techniques provide various advantages and possess considerable promise for the future of reservoir management. Coupled Reservoir Analysis amalgamates many data sources, disciplines, and approaches to furnish a comprehensive understanding of reservoir characteristics and behavior. Integrating geological, geophysical, petrophysical, and engineering data improves the precision and dependability of reservoir models, facilitating informed decision-making and optimum production plans. Coupled Reservoir Analysis offers advantages such as greater reservoir comprehension, less uncertainty, improved risk management, and optimized hydrocarbon exploitation.

The oil and gas sector need ongoing research, innovation, and collaboration in reservoir analysis as it changes. The integration of technologies, including enhanced seismic imaging, machine learning, and real-time monitoring systems, presents opportunity to augment Reservoir Analysis capabilities. Furthermore, multidisciplinary collaboration among geoscientists, engineers, data scientists, and other stakeholders is crucial for enhancing integrated methodologies and tackling intricate reservoir issues. By cultivating a culture of innovation and cooperation, we can unveil new opportunities and advance developments in reservoir management.

The results of correlated Reservoir Analysis transcend technological progress to encompass practical applications in reservoir management strategies. By utilizing integrated methodologies, operators may refine reservoir management methods, increase well placement and completion designs, and elevate production performance. Moreover, integrated Reservoir Analysis facilitates proactive risk management, resulting in safer and more efficient operations. Ultimately, optimizing hydrocarbon extraction while reducing environmental effect is essential for the sustainable development of oil and gas resources. Coupled Reservoir Analysis is fundamental to contemporary reservoir management, providing exceptional insights into subsurface reservoirs and enhancing hydrocarbon recovery. Looking ahead, ongoing research, innovation, and cooperation are vital for enhancing coupled methodologies and realizing the whole potential of our reservoir assets. Embracing coupled reservoir analysis fosters innovation, enhances operational efficiency, and guarantees the sustainable development of hydrocarbon resources for future generations.

9.0 REFERENCES

1. Abdullatif, O., Makkawi, M. and Yassin, M., 2022. Statistical and Geostatistical Analyses. In Geological and Geostatistical Aquifer Characterization of Wajid Sandstone, Saudi Arabia (pp. 143-208). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
2. Ahmed, R. and Farman, G.M., 2023. How to Estimate the Major Petrophysical Properties: A Review. Iraqi Journal of Oil and Gas Research (IJOGR), 3(1), pp.43-58.
3. Akinsanya, M.O., Ekechi, C.C. and Okeke, C.D., 2024. The evolution of cyber resilience frameworks in network security: a conceptual analysis. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(4), pp.926-949.
4. Arinze, C.A., Ajala, O.A., Okoye, C.C., Ofodile, O.C. and Daraojimba, A.I., 2024. Evaluating the combination of advanced IT solutions for emission reduction in the oil and gas sector. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), pp.639-652.
5. Cao, J., Lye, J., Gonzalez, M. and Magalhaes, M., 2024. Exploring the Synergy: Leveraging Oil and Gas Drilling Solutions for Enhanced Geothermal Drilling. In Proceedings of the 49th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering. Stanford University, Stanford, California (pp. 12-14).
6. Daramola, G.O., Jacks, B.S., Ajala, O.A. and Akinoso, A.E., 2024. ENHANCING OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION EFFICIENCY THROUGH AI-DRIVEN SEISMIC IMAGING AND DATA ANALYSIS. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(4), pp.1473-1486.
7. Daus, M., Koberger, K., Koca, K., Beckers, F., Encinas Fernández, J., Weisbrod, B., Dietrich, D., Gerbersdorf, S.U., Glaser, R., Haun, S. and Hofmann, H., 2021. Interdisciplinary reservoir management—a tool for sustainable water resources management. Sustainability, 13(8), p.4498.
8. De Jonge-Anderson, I., Ma, J., Wu, X. and Stow, D., 2022. Determining reservoir intervals in the Bowland Shale using petrophysics and rock physics models. Geophysical Journal International, 228(1), pp.39-65.
9. Digitemie, W. N., and Ekemezie, I. O, 2024. "Enhancing Carbon Capture and Storage Efficiency in The Oil and Gas Sector: An Coupled Data Science and Geological Approach". Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), 924-934.
10. Ekemezie, I. O., and Digitemie, W. N., 2024. "A comprehensive review of Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS) for Improved Efficiency". World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(3), 829-841.
11. Ekemezie, I. O., and Digitemie, W. N., 2024. "Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU):

A Review of E combining Applications And Challenges". Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3),949-961

12. Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., and Igbinenikaro, O. P., 2024. Next-Generation Materials For Space Electronics: A Conceptual Review. Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 06,(02), 051–062. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjet.2024.6.2.0020.
13. Esho, A. O. O., Iluyomade, T. D., Olatunde, T. M., Igbinenikaro, O. P., 2024. Electrical Propulsion Systems For Satellites: A Review Of Current Technologies And Future Prospects. International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research. 06,(02), 035–044. https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.6.2.0034.
14. Fajana, A.O., 2023. Hydrocarbon Reservoir Analysis Methodologies and Uncertainties as a Function of Spatial Location: A Review from Gigascale to Nanoscale. FUOYE Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences (FJPAS), 8(2), pp.82-101.
15. Falade, A.O., Amigun, J.O., Makeen, Y.M. and Kafisanwo, O.O., 2022. Characterization and geostatistical modeling of reservoirs in 'Falad'field, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology, 12(5), pp.1353-1369.
16. Ganguli, S.S. and Dimri, V.P., 2024. Reservoir analysis : State-of-the-art, key challenges and ways forward. In Developments in Structural Geology and Tectonics (Vol. 6, pp. 1-35). Elsevier.
17. Gao, Y., Raeini, A.Q., Selem, A.M., Bondino, I., Blunt, M.J. and Bijeljic, B., 2020. Pore-scale imaging with measurement of relative permeability and capillary pressure on the same reservoir sandstone sample under water-wet and mixed-wet conditions. Advances in Water Resources, 146, p.103786.
18. Ghosh, S., 2022. A review of basic well log interpretation techniques in highly deviated wells. Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology, 12(7), pp.1889-1906.
19. Grana, D., Azevedo, L., De Figueiredo, L., Connolly, P. and Mukerji, T., 2022. Probabilistic inversion of seismic data for reservoir petrophysical characterization: Review and examples. Geophysics, 87(5), pp.M199-M216.
20. Igbinenikaro, O. P., Adekoya, O. O., and Etukudoh, E. A., 2024. A Comparative Review Of Subsea Navigation Technologies In Offshore Engineering Projects. International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research. 06,(02), 019–034. https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.6.2.0031.
21. Igbinenikaro, O. P., Adekoya, O. O., and Etukudoh, E. A., 2024. E combining

Underwater Survey Technologies: A Review And Future Outlook. Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology. 10,(02), 071–084. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2024.10.2.0052.

22. Jambol, D.D., Sofoluwe, O.O., Ukato, A. and Ochulor, O.J., 2024. Transforming equipment management in oil and gas with AI-Driven predictive maintenance. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(5), pp.1090-1112.
23. Jo, S., Jeong, H., Min, B., Park, C., Kim, Y., Kwon, S. and Sun, A., 2022. Efficient deep-learning-based history matching for fluvial channel reservoirs. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 208, p.109247.
24. Joel O. T., and Oguanobi V. U., 2024. Leadership and management in high-growth environments: effective strategies for the clean energy sector. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, P- ISSN: 2664-3588, E-ISSN: 2664-3596, Volume 6, Issue 5, P.No.1423-1440, May 2024. DOI:10.51594/ijmer.v6i5.1092. www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer
25. Joel O. T., and Oguanobi V. U., 2024. Navigating business transformation and strategic decision-making in multinational energy corporations with geodata. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences P- ISSN: 2706-9176, E-ISSN: 2706-9184 Volume 6, Issue 5, P.No. 801-818, May 2024 DOI:10.51594/ijarss.v6i5.1103. www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijarss
26. Khalili, Y. and Ahmadi, M., 2023. Reservoir modeling & simulation: Advancements, challenges, and future perspectives. Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, 57(2), pp.343-364.
27. Khassanov, D.I. and Lonshakov, M.A., 2020. Investigation of the scale effect and the concept of a representative volume element of rocks in relation to porosity. Georesources, 22(4), pp.55-59.
28. Kim, S., Christiaans, H. and Cho, J., 2024. Enhancing missing persons search strategies through technological touchpoints. Policing and Society, pp.1-20.
29. Kumar, P., Rao, B., Burman, A., Kumar, S. and Samui, P., 2023. Spatial variation of permeability and consolidation behaviors of soil using ordinary kriging method. Groundwater for Sustainable Development, 20, p.100856.
30. Liang, B., Liu, J., You, J., Jia, J., Pan, Y. and Jeong, H., 2023. Hydrocarbon production dynamics forecasting using machine learning: A state-of-the-art review. Fuel, 337, p.127067.
31. Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Guo, W., Kang, L., Gao, J., Yu, R., Sun, Y. and Pan, M., 2022. Research Status of and Trends in 3D Geological Property Modeling Methods: A

Review. Applied Sciences, 12(11), p.5648.

32. M. Faskhoodi, M., Damani, A., Kanneganti, K., Zaluski, W., Ibelegbu, C., Qiuguo, L., Xu, C., Mukisa, H., Ali Lahmar, H., Andjelkovic, D. and Perez Michi, O., 2020, December. Unlocking Unconventional Reservoir for Optimum Production Through Coupled Multi-Disciplinary Approach-A Case Study. In SPE Europec featured at EAGE Conference and Exhibition? (p. D021S016R006). SPE.
33. Mahmud, H.B., Hisham, M.H.B.M., Mahmud, W.M., Leong, V.H. and Shafiq, M.U., 2020. Petrophysical interpretations of subsurface stratigraphic correlations, Baram Delta, Sarawak, Malaysia. Energy Geoscience, 1(3-4), pp.100-114.
34. Malozyomov, B.V., Martyushev, N.V., Kukartsev, V.V., Tynchenko, V.S., Bukhtoyarov, V.V., Wu, X., Tyncheko, Y.A. and Kukartsev, V.A., 2023. Overview of methods for enhanced oil recovery from conventional and unconventional reservoirs. Energies, 16(13), p.4907.
35. Mcpherson, D., 2023, July. Using High Fidelity OBN Seismic Data to Unlock Conventional Near Field Exploration Prospectivity in Nigeria's Shallow Water Offshore Depobelt. In SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition (p. D021S008R001). SPE.
36. Meng, C., Xie, S., Liu, L., Wei, P., Tang, Y. and Zhang, Y., 2024. Regional PM2. 5 concentration prediction analysis and spatio-temporal mapping incorporating ZWD data. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 15(3), p.102028.
37. Mishra, A., Sharma, A. and Patidar, A.K., 2022. Evaluation and development of a predictive model for geophysical well log data analysis and reservoir analysis : machine learning applications to lithology prediction. Natural Resources Research, 31(6), pp.3195-3222.
38. Mullins, J., van Der Vegt, H. and Howell, J., 2021. Combining process-based models and multiple-point Geostatistical modelling for improved reservoir modelling. Petroleum Geoscience, 27(3), pp.petgeo2020-012.
39. Muther, T., Qureshi, H.A., Syed, F.I., Aziz, H., Siyal, A., Dahaghi, A.K. and Negahban, S., 2022. Unconventional hydrocarbon resources: geological statistics, petrophysical characterization, and field development strategies. Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology, 12(6), pp.1463-1488.
40. Oguanobi V. U., and Joel O. T., 2024. Scalable Business Models for Startups in Renewable Energy: Strategies for Using GIS Technology to Enhance SME Scaling. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, P-ISSN: 2708-8944, E- ISSN: 2708-8952, Volume 5, Issue 5, P.No. 1571-1587, May 2024. DOI:

10.51594/estj/v5i5.1109. www.fepbl.com/index.php/est.

41. Onwuka, O., Obinna, C., Umeogu, I., Balogun, O., Alamina, P., Adesida, A., Kolawale, A., Sokubo, I., Osimobi, J., Uche,
42. Onwuka, O.U. and Adu, A., 2024. Sustainable strategies in onshore gas exploration: Incorporating carbon capture for environmental compliance. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(4), pp.1184-1202.
43. Osaki, L. J. & Opara, A. I. (2018). Quantitative Petrophysical Evaluation and Reservoir Characterization of well logs from Datom oil field. Petroleum and Coal.
44. Osaki, L.J., Itiowe, K., Mgbeojedo, T.I., Agoha, C.C., Onwubuariri & C.N., (2021). Direct estimation of Hydrocarbon in place of JAKS Offshore Field, Niger Delta using empirical formulae Technique: International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology ISSN No: -2456-2165, Volume 6, Issue 10, October – 2021
45. Osaki, L.J., Opara, A.I. & Okereke, C.N. (2016). 3D Seismic Interpretation and Volumetrics Estimation of Osaja Field Niger Delta, Nigeria: International Letters of Natural Sciences, ISSN: 2300-9675, Vol. 59, pp 14-28.
46. Osaki, L.J..Onwubuariri, C.N., Agoha, C.C. (2025). Optimizing Unconventional Reservoir Performance in Niger Delta: A 3D Geostatistical driven Geomechanics Evaluation-Integrated Approach to Characterization. Journal of scientific and engineering Research, 12(2):138-154;
47. Piorkowski, D., Park, S., Wang, A.Y., Wang, D., Muller, M. and Portnoy, F., 2021. How ai developers overcome communication challenges in a multidisciplinary team: A case study. Proceedings of the ACM on Human- Computer Interaction, 5(CSCW1), pp.1-25.
48. Popoola, O.A., Adama, H.E., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E., 2024. Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering: Conceptual models and practical executions. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(1), pp.1198-1208.
49. Rose, K.K., Bauer, J.R. and Mark-Moser, M., 2020. A systematic, science-driven approach for predicting subsurface properties. Interpretation, 8(1), pp.T167-T181.
50. Sadeghi, B. and Cohen, D.R., 2023. Decision-making within geochemical exploration data based on spatial uncertainty–A new insight and a futuristic review. Ore Geology Reviews, 161, p.105660.
51. Saikia, P., Baruah, R.D., Singh, S.K. and Chaudhuri, P.K., 2020. Artificial Neural Networks in the domain of reservoir analysis : A review from shallow to deep models. Computers & Geosciences, 135, p.104357.
52. Shakya, A. and Tripathi, G., 2024. Oil and Gas Industry in Context of Industry 4.0: An

Understanding. In Understanding Data Analytics and Predictive Modelling in the Oil and Gas Industry (pp. 141-162). CRC Press.

53. Shehata, A.A., Osman, O.A. and Nabawy, B.S., 2021. Neural network application to petrophysical and lithofacies analysis based on multi-scale data: an coupled study using conventional well log, core and borehole image data. Journal of natural gas science and engineering, 93, p.104015.
54. Simpa, P., Solomon, N.O., Adenekan, O.A., & Obasi, S.C., 2024. Innovative waste management approaches in LNG operations: A detailed review. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(5), pp.1711-1731.
55. Simpa, P., Solomon, N.O., Adenekan, O.A., & Obasi, S.C., 2024. Strategic implications of carbon pricing on global environmental sustainability and economic development: A conceptual framework. International Journal of Advanced Economics, 6(5), pp.139-172.
56. Simpa, P., Solomon, N.O., Adenekan, O.A., and Obasi, S.C., 2024. Environmental stewardship in the oil and gas sector: Current practices and future directions. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6(5), pp.903-926.
57. Solomon, N.O., Simpa, P., Adenekan, O.A., & Obasi, S.C., 2024. Circular Economy Principles and Their Combination into Global Supply Chain Strategies. Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6(5), pp.747-762.
58. Sun, S., Pollitt, D.A., Wu, S. and Leary, D.A., 2021. Use of global analogues to improve decision quality in exploration, development, and production. AAPG Bulletin, 105(5), pp.845-864.
59. Ukato, A., Sofoluwe, O.O., Jambol, D.D. and Ochulor, O.J., 2024. Technical support as a catalyst for innovation and special project success in oil and gas. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(5), pp.1498-1511.
60. Wagner, F.M. and Uhlemann, S., 2021. An overview of multimethod imaging approaches in environmental geophysics. Advances in Geophysics, 62, pp.1-72.
61. Zughar, S.S., Ramadhan, A.A. and Jaber, A.K., 2020. Petrophysical properties of an Iraqi carbonate reservoir using well log evaluation. Iraqi Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, 21(1), pp.53-59.